



Lina Galtieri, for the Jet correction group

- Provide Jet Corrections along the lines of Run I
- Di–Jet group: improve jet resolution
- Jet Corrections Step 1:
 - Check the calorimeter E-scale (with calor., electron, muon groups)
 - Use electrons, muons, gam–jet balance
 - Test Run I JTC96X corrections and determine their uncertainties
 - Determine the relative central-plug response
 - > Tune simulation to reproduce test–beam data and low P_T pion data

Jet Corrections Step 2 (reduce uncertainties)

- Determine underlying event
- Tune jet fragmentation (charged tracks in jets) in Monte Carlo to reproduce tracks in jets.
- Determine absolute jet corrections using the Monte Carlo.
- ➤ Complete the new Run II corrections: JTC02X (?).





Calorimeter E-scale

CEM : absolute scale checked with Z→e+e-Results show E-scale OK within 2-3%.
CHA : scale checked with MIP peak from J/ψ muons Run II scale 4% low with respect to run I
WHA: First observation of MIP peak from muons!
PEM : absolute scale checked with Z→e+e-, one e in the central Need many corrections: face , tower-tower, PPR. Scale off up to 10% depending on cluster algorithm used. Observe EAST-WEST plug difference of 2-3%
PHA: calibration from test beam. Need plug muons

Jet E-scale: γ-jet balance, using JTC96X corrections, seems to be ~6% off for central jets. (bug not fixed here, see later. Effect expected to be small in central)



Summary as of May 31–02



- Particle response:
 - ≻CEM electrons E–scale OK within ~3%
 - ≻ CHA muon MIP peak is shifted by about 4%
 - ≻ PEM electrons need more work. E–scale low by 4–10%
 - > WHA and PHA could benefit from muon triggers
- Gam–Jet balance
 - ≻ Central E–scale within 3% from run I
 - Could use Run I correction in central (~6% shift but need to look again)
- Relative plug–central corrections from Di–jet balance: wait for further checks of bug fix.
- Calorimeter simulation tuning proceeding very well. Will improve when isolated track trigger data is added.



What do we need to do



Understand East–West plug puzzle : the same in gam–jet balance 3% difference in di–jet balance

Rerun everything with fixed bug in Cal Towers

Revisit corrections with JTC96X (gam–jet balance)

Evaluate relative correction for present Central Calorimeter E-scale (using the 4% CHA correction)

More work on the MC

compare what we have now with data fix obvious discrepancies