Propects for Discovery of Neutral Higgs Bosons in RunII Data

Aaron Dominguez
LBNL

LBNL Divisional Fellow Interview, March 2004

- Motivation
- SM Higgs: Mission Improbable
- MSSM Higgs: Mission Quite Possible
- Silicon detector is key
- B-Tagging
- Re-measuring the top gives us confidence
- Trigger and the SVT
- Analysis: 4b search
- Outlook

Motivation

- LEP was best chance to find SM Higgs (until LHC)
- SM Higgs search is notoriously difficult at Tevatron
- SM needs some kind of help to stabilize Higgs mass (hierarchy prob)
- MSSM one candidate that has held up well to experimental scrutiny
- Introduces 5 physical Higgses
- But production cross sections at Tev can be quite large

RunII Well Under Way!



- Now have more data than RunI
- Can do precision measurements and searches
- What can we do to understand neutral Higgs Sector with our new data and detector?

SM Higgs: Current State of Affairs





- EW Precision fits "predict" $m_{\rm H} < 219\ {\rm GeV},\ 95\%CL$
- One set of measurements ($A^b_{\rm FB}$) differ by 3σ and pull $m_{\rm h}$ fit high. But removing them leaves us with $m_{\rm h} \approx 50\ {\rm GeV}$ and $m_{\rm h} \lesssim 110\ {\rm GeV}$ $95\%CL$ (Chanowitz)
- LEP Combined search limits $m_{\rm H} > 114\ {\rm GeV},\ 95\%CL$

SM Higgs: Mission Improbable





- Most promising SM discovery modes:
  - Associated production with Z/W
  - Followed by $W \to \ell\nu$ or $\mathrm{Z} \to \nu\bar{\nu}$, with $\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{b\bar{b}}$

- Cross sections mostly $\lesssim$ 0.3 pb! at Tevatron, and that's before branching ratio

SM Higgs: Reevaluated Sensitivity

- Last summer, CDF & D0 reconsidered work of RunII Higgs Workshop (hep-ph/0010338) taking into account knowledge of new detector

- Dominant background from physics, not detectors:
  - W+jets: $p\bar{p} \to W + gg,\ g \to b\bar{b}/c\bar{c}$. ~ 10's pb
  - $t\bar{t}$: $p\bar{p} \to t\bar{t} \to W^+bW^-\bar{b}$. ~ 7 pb
  - Single top: $p\bar{p} \to t\bar{b} \to W^+b\bar{b}$. ~ 2 pb
  - WZ: $p\bar{p} \to WZ \to \ell\nu b\bar{b}$. ~ 3 pb.

HSC vs SHW at 115 GeV

	WH ($\ell\nu bb$)		ZH ($\nu\nu bb$)	
	HSC	SHW	HSC	SHW
signal	3.7	4.6	3.5	5.5
tt	12.3	7.8	1.9	3.0
tqb/tb	6.9	5.3	1.0	5.0
Wbb/Zbb	20.9	7.7	2.9	13.8
WZ/ZZ	1.6	1.4	2.6	3.3
QCD	-	-	8.1	25.1
bkgd	42	22	16.5	50
S/$\sqrt{}$B	0.57	0.98	0.86	0.78

mass window: 100-130 GeV

- "HSC" is new estimate with current algorithms for 1 fb^{-1} per Experiment

- Will be *very challenging*

SM Higgs: Sensitivity vs Luminosity



MSSM Higgs: Mission Quite Possible

- The MSSM is well known to predict at least one light neutral Higgs
- Large regions of parameter space currently consistent with SM measurements and EW predictions.
- The price to pay is more free parameters, making the final conclusion of searches somewhat less stringent
- At LEP, production cross sections of neutral Higges were generally smaller than the SM cross section
- At Tevatron, production cross section grows with $\tan\beta$

MSSM Higgs: Current State of Affairs







- ∼Final LEP limits:
  $m_{\mathrm{h}} > 91.0$ GeV,
  $m_{\mathrm{A}} > 91.9$ GeV at $95\% CL$
- CDF RunI limits m_{h} and m_{A} for values of $\tan\beta \gtrsim 50$

MSSM Higgs: Production Modes at Tevatron

Why look at bbbb channel? MSSM couplings to down-type quarks enhanced like $\tan\beta$ relative to SM



MSSM Higgs: Cross Section at Tevatron (M. Spira, HIGLU)



Need less int. lumi for discovery compared to SM Higgs

Game On

- Enhancement of $\mathrm{b\bar{b}A}$ vertex of $\tan\beta$, compared to SM
- MSSM Higgs production at TeV is complimentary to LEP $\Rightarrow$ orthogonal sensitivity in $(\tan\beta, m_{\mathrm{A}})$ plane
- Branching into b's remains large: $\mathrm{A/h} \rightarrow \mathrm{b\bar{b}} \sim 85 - 90\%$, $\mathrm{A/h} \rightarrow \tau^{+}\tau^{-} \sim 8 - 10\%$
- Game is to trigger on and reconstruct multijet events containing multiple b-jets
- Understanding and using the silicon detector is crucial for trigger and reconstruction

Silicon Detector is Key

- Si detector used online & offline to ID b's.
- Many different ways to tag b-jets, but Si detector best at lifetime reconstruction
- Need to measure in data and model in simulation:
  - Coverage
  - Efficiency
  - Resolution
  - Alignment
  - Material
  - Tracking efficiency
- Then we can b-tag!

Silicon Detector is Key





- Detector stable after commissioning period
- Coverage increased due to repairs
- 7 double sided layers (3 at 90°, 4 at 1.2°).

- Inner layer on beampipe ($\sim$commisioned)
- Axial strip pitch $50\ \mu\mathrm{m} - 112\ \mu\mathrm{m}$, inner to outer

High Signal-to-Noise Device





- Operation optimized for high S/N & eff
- S/N of SVX axial 12:1, stereo 10:1
- $> 99\%$ signal readout eff
- With $< 1\%$ noise occupancy

Silicon Tracking Resolution From High P_T μ





Cluster Width	Measured resolution in μm L00	SVX Axial L1-L5	SVX 90° L1,4
1 strip	18.4 ± 0.3	13.6 ± 0.3	29.5 ± 0.5
2 strips	10.1 ± 1.9	9.5 ± 0.1	23.0 ± 0.3
3 strips	17.2 ± 1.8	13.4 ± 0.2	34.3 ± 0.8
4+ strips	23.5 ± 2.8	18.7 ± 0.4	64.3 ± 1.8

L00 resolutions are new result & will improve with alignment/study

Silicon Tracking Resolution Data vs MC



Silicon Tracking Performance

Including pre-stable-data-taking period



After stable-data-taking period



- Eff to attach $\frac{N-1}{N}$ $R\Phi$-Si hits to good COT tracks from $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ events
- 5% dead wedges (have recovered some)
- 5% readout errors (have reduced some)
- 4% bad strips (irreducible)
- Have improved tracking/alignment

Silicon Material Map









- Accounting for all material allows precise knowledge of energy loss and mass reconstruction
- Helps simulation get false lifetime from conversions correct

B-Tagging





- Displaced vertexes: All combinations of at least 2 good tracks
- Jet is tagged as b-jet if $L_{xy}/\sigma_{xy} > 3$ (typical $\sigma_{xy} \sim 150\ \mu\mathrm{m}$)
- Measure eff and fake rate in incl lepton & generic jet data
- (Looser tagger used in Higgs analysis: SecVtx falling back to 1% CL of impact parameters in jet to be consistent with zero)

Measuring B-Tag Efficiency



- Knowing eff to tag b-jet key to any analysis using b-tag for cross section
- Double-tag technique to measure b-tag eff
- Start with sample enhanced in heavy flavor: 8 GeV inclusive electrons in data/MC
- Enrich b-content by requiring away-jet to be tagged
- Eff of b-tagging e-jet determined by ratio of double-to-single tagged events
- Method less sensitive to knowledge of heavy-flavor fraction of e-jet

High-P_t B-Tagging: Efficiency





- Need 2 things about sample of jets to measure eff:
  1. Net tag rate, $r = (N_{\rm pos} - N_{\rm neg})/N_{\rm tot}$
  2. Frac of jets containing HF, $F_{\rm B}$
- Eff is then $\varepsilon = r/F_{\rm B}$
- Measure $r^{\rm a}$ and $F_{\rm B}^{\rm a}$ in e-jets when away jet is tagged
- Account for away-jets tagged but e-jet is light-flavor
- This QCD contribution measured in sample when e-jet identified as photon-conversion
- Assumes heavy flavor production in away-jet independent of e coming from conversions/fakes

$$\varepsilon = \frac{(N_{\rm a+}^{\rm e+} - N_{\rm a+}^{\rm e-}) - (N_{\rm a-}^{\rm e+} - N_{\rm a-}^{\rm e-})}{(N_{\rm a+} - N_{\rm a-})} \cdot \frac{1}{F_{\rm B}^{\rm a}}$$

- Relative difference data/MC for tagging b-jets $81\% \pm 7\%$
- Eff to tag a $\rm t\bar{t}$ event $52.9\% \pm 0.3\% \pm 4.6\%$
- Now measure prob to tag *light-flavor jet* as b-jet (fake tag)

High-P_t B-Tagging: Fake Rate





- Can't rely on absolute MC rate for tagging light partons
- Use negative tag rate in inclusive jet sample to determine "fake rate"
- Parameterize rate as function of 5 observables
  - E_T: Vtx method better for large E_T
  - $\sum E_T^{\text{jets}}$: Removes some sample dependence
  - $N_{\text{good}}^{\text{trk}}$: Tracking eff
  - ϕ, η: Detector coverage
- Use parameterization to predict negative tag rates in different samples
- Fake rate $1 - 1.5\%$ for top-candidates

Re-Measuring the Top Cross Section





- For the purposes of the Higgs search, the top quark presents a known high P_T physical process involving b-jets
- Reestablishing lepton+jets top cross section give us confidence that the b-tag is working

Top: Lepton+Jets Cross Section

- Signature:
  - 1 high-P_t isolated lepton
  - Veto conversions, Z's, cosmics
  - Large missing E_t
  - At least 3 high-E_t jets
  - $H_t > 200$ GeV
  - At least 1 b-tag

- B-tag improves S/B from $1/6$ to $3/1$



- Find 48 candidate events in 162 pb^{-1}
- Expect 13.8 ± 2.0 bkg events
- $\sigma_{\mathrm{t\bar{t}}} = 5.6 \pm 1.2(\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.8(\mathrm{syst})\mathrm{pb}$
- $\sigma_{\mathrm{t\bar{t}}}(\mathrm{NLO}) = 6.7^{+0.71}_{-0.88}$ pb (Cacciari *et al.*)

Now on towards the Higgs

- Si detector tuned up
- B-Tag implemented
- Jets+Lepton top cross section reestablished
- *Now let's see what has to be done for MSSM Higgs search*

4b Search: Roadmap

- Online
  - Trigger is crucial.
  - High bkg rates, this will always be somewhat low eff
- Offline
  - Veto isolated high-P_T leptons from Z & W
  - Require 4 jets, with $E_T > 10$ GeV
  - Estimate "fake tags" from mistag matrix, like top analysis
  - Estimate QCD from fit to 2-tag spectrum in data
  - Harsh b-tag requirement: 3 or more positive tags!
  - Dijet pairing $\Rightarrow$ mass window cuts
  - Dijet mass isn't great, essentially counting exp

Triggering on 4b Events with CAL+SVT

Signal



QCD 4b Background



- Signature: 4 b-jets in final state with lots of visible energy
- Dominant background: QCD processes giving 4 real b-jets in final state
- Trigger:
  - 3 jets with $E_T > 10$ GeV
  - $\sum E_T > 100$ GeV
  - Heavy flavor present (use SVT)

Triggering on Heavy Flavor with SVT





- Takes L1 tracking info from COT and finds Si hits in road
- Fits beamline position online, for IP reference
- IP resolution $= 35\ \mu\mathrm{m} \oplus 33\ \mu\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{beam})$ compares to offline resolution quite well
- System is ∼deadtimeless: $25\mu\mathrm{sec/event}$ for silicon readout, clustering, track fitting
- 70% eff for $4/4$, 80% eff for $4/5$

3-Level Higgs Trigger

- L1_JET10_&_SUMET90_v-1: Requires one 10 GeV E_T trigger jet from central or plug in coincidence with 90 GeV $\sum E_T$
- L2_TWO_TRK2_D100_L1_JET10_&_SUMET90_v-1: Two tracks each with $100\ \mu\mathrm{m} < d_0 < 1\ \mathrm{mm}$, $\chi^2 < 25$ and $P_t > 2\ \mathrm{GeV}$ from SVT.
- L3_THREE_JET10_SUMET100_TWO_SVT_v-1: Using cone size of 0.4, require three jets of 10 GeV E_T with total jet $\sum E_t > 100\ \mathrm{GeV}$
- Trigger was designed before data taking began.
- Collected 200 pb^{-1} with this trigger. Looking at implementing more efficient trigger for next data taking period.
- RunI trigger used for MSSM Higgs was 1.7% efficient on signal

Beginning Studies with MC and Blinded Data

- We've begun MC-level studies of 4b signals in m_{A} range 90 GeV to 130 GeV
- Using background dominated data to study fake tags
- Haven't used data to measure signal cross section (blinded), but we've made a first estimate of expected signal and background rates
- Comparable to previous study (J. Valls) of expected rates $\Rightarrow$ Use as baseline for expected sensitivity
- Everything is very preliminary

Estimated Efficiencies on Signal







Requirement	$m_A = 90$ GeV, $\tan\beta = 50$ $gg \to bbA$	$qq \to bbA$
Passes L1&L2&L3	1.5%	37.7%
2+ offline b-tags	32%	47.5%
3+ offline b-tags	9.5%	18.5%
Total eff	0.14%	7.0%
gg+qq eff	0.2%	

Fake Double-Tag Rate Prediction in Data





- Negative single-tag rate in incl. jet sample to determine fake multi-tag rate in data ($\mathrm{QCD}_{\mathrm{fakes}}$ in following)
- Fake double-tag is double-positive-tag with at least one light flavored jet
  - Consider light-light $\mathrm{Neg} = \mathrm{Pos} = \epsilon$:

$$P_{--} = \epsilon^2$$

$$P_{+-} = 2\epsilon^2$$

$$P_{++}^{\mathrm{fake}} = \epsilon^2 = P_{+-} - P_{--}$$

  - Light-heavy $\mathrm{Neg} = \epsilon$, $\mathrm{Pos} = \eta$:

$$P_{--} = \epsilon\eta$$

$$P_{+-} = 2\epsilon\eta$$

$$P_{++}^{\mathrm{fake}} = \epsilon\eta = P_{+-} - P_{--}$$

- $P_{+++}^{\mathrm{fake}} = P_{++-} - P_{+--} + P_{---}$

Example of Fake Double Tags in HIGGS_MULTI_JET 8 pb^{-1} Data





- n_{+-}:
  - Obs 121
  - Pred 119.7 ± 3.6
  - Ratio: 1.01 ± 0.09
- n_{--}:
  - Obs $(26)/2$
  - Pred $(27.2 \pm 1.6)/2$
  - Ratio: 0.96 ± 0.19
- Fake multitag prediction seems to work (for dijet masses above ~ 50 GeV)

Example of Fitting QCD Bkg from Data



- Use LO ME generators (ALPGEN, GR@PPA) for QCD b events (bbjj for double-tag, bbbb for triple-tag)
- Absolute cross section must come from data
- Fit double-tag data dijet mass spectrum, with known normalizations for fakes, top, smaller bkg, but let QCD float

Use Results of Analysis of 4b Events from RunII Study



- After the trigger, require triple b-tag
- Reconstruct double-tagged dijet mass: $\sim 15\%$ resolution on signal
- Very little difference between signal (a) and bkg (b) in dijet spectrum, essentially a counting experiment
- (c) signal+bkg for $m_{\rm A} = 130$, $\tan\beta = 40$ for 1 $\rm fb^{-1}$

Expected Signal+Background in 1 $\rm fb^{-1}$

$m_{\rm A}$ GeV	signal at $\tan\beta = 40$	$\rm QCD_{heavy}$	$\rm QCD_{fakes}$	top	other	total
90	62.4 ± 1.5	56 ± 12	12 ± 10	13.4 ± 3.4	6.6 ± 1.7	88 ± 16
130	13.7 ± 0.5	45 ± 11	7 ± 5	12.2 ± 3.2	3.4 ± 1.4	68 ± 13

Outlook



Outlook

95% CL Exclusion



5σ Discovery



Outlook

- Currently have $\sim 200\ \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ data on tape
- Making systematic progress in understanding b-tagging in RunII
- Higgs multijet trigger performing adequately, room for improvement
- Expect to have new exclusion potential down to $\tan\beta \sim 40$ for $m_{\mathrm{A}} = 90\ \mathrm{GeV}$ with data in hand
- Large region of parameter space with potential for discovery

Near Future at Tevatron

- Finish first round of search for $\mathrm{gg} \to \mathrm{b\bar{b}A/h} \to \mathrm{b\bar{b}b\bar{b}}$
- Implement more efficient trigger
- Combined search
  - Willinbrock predicts $\mathrm{gg} \to \mathrm{bA} \to \mathrm{bb\bar{b}}$ is ~ 10 times bigger than 4b final state. Measure similar process $\mathrm{p\bar{p}} \to Zb$ first.
  - $\mathrm{b\bar{b}}\tau\tau$ channel is 10 times smaller, but still helpful at high $\tan\beta$.
  - Also combine $gg \to A \to \tau^+\tau^-$
  - Confirmation in τ-channel would be key!
  - Can combine results with D0 a'la LEPHWG for more sensistivity
- Combine with other SUSY searches, esp $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ for *global MSSM search*
- MSSM Higgs search seems quite promising

Backup Slides

L1 Trigger Efficiency on Signal









L2 Trigger Efficiency on Signal









L3 Trigger Efficiency on Signal









Full trigger $\sim 1.6\%$ eff on 90 GeV signal

Combine with $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$: Global MSSM search

From Matt Herndon & Doug Glenziksi



Baer *et al.* **JHEP07** (2002) 050



Both high $\tan\beta$ MSSM Higgs search and $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ limit same corner of parameter space

BEST (Bilingual English/Spanish Tutors)

- BEST is an outreach program that pairs up high acheiving bilingual high school students with elementary school children to help them with their homework after school
- I founded the program in 2001
- Now serves three schools in Aurora's Near East Side: 30+ tutors, 75+ students
- Raised $17k from the city, alderman, charities, and federal "No Child Left Behind"

Mentorship

- *Mentorship* is what makes the program work
  - Older students gain sense of responsibility for successful education of younger peers
  - Younger students see positive role models with similar backgrounds

- Propose to implement a mentorship program at LBNL between scientists (staff, postdocs, visitors, grad students) and underrespresented high school students