



Continuing studies on color reconnection systematics require the understanding of jets from PYTHIA V6.4

This talk: will look at jet variables and compare them to jets in top data. Only b-tagged jets are considered in this comparison.

Variables: Jet mass Number of charged particles EM Fraction Eta moments Phi moments

We have thousands of histograms. We only show a few of them.

Lina Galtieri with Paul Lujan, Igor Volobouev, Jeremy Lys + other top mass group members





In the past we have shown (Lina 02/18/09) studies using matching of partons to simulated jets and other variables.

They indicate that the new PYTHIA samples V6.4, S0 tunes, generate events with wider b-jets and that the PT in a cone of 0.4 is about 1 GeV less than for the old Tune A.

Andrea Castro (01/21/09) has shown studies of eta moments, that confirm these findings. However, there is no agreement between data and MC for the eta moments of the b-jets for any of the tunes, except for tune S0 generated by Nathan at 170 GeV.

Hyun Su (03/25/09) has confirmed Andrea's findings.

CR systematics: Top Mass Meeting 04/22/09, Lina Galtieri





We have looked at all the old and new samples. Too many to discuss here.

I will show results on a few of them, to illustrate the situation.

PYTHIA (ttkt75) 175 GeV, V6.2.16 tune AV. Low + high lum APROIN (ctops1) 175 GeV, V6.4.20, tuneA-pro. Low + high lum (ctops2) 175 GeV, V6.420, tuneApro-CR. Low + high lum ACRIhI S0Pq0 (ctops3) 175 GeV, V6.4.20, S0-Perugia0 NOCRPg0 (ctops4) 175 GeV, V6.4.20, NOCR-Perugia0 S0pro-170 (ctops0) 170 GeV, V6.4.20, S0-pro S0pro-175 (otop44) 175 GeV, V6.4.20, S0-pro (otopt5) 170 GeV, V6.4.16 Sasha's tune A parameters SashaA (otopt6) 170 GeV, V6.4.16 Sasha's S0 parameters SashaS0



Tagged Jet PT



Comparison of data and MC for the default PYTHIA V6.2. Only events with Ntight=4 included



The measured jet PT agrees with the PYTHIA tune AV which is our default. The dependence on Nvtx is minimal which means we are correcting the jets properly. The lumi profile is not very good, as the background luminosity is limited to P8. (see next page).

CR systematics: Top Mass Meeting 04/22/09, Lina Galtieri



Jet Mass in tagged jets



Jet mass agrees poorly with MC. Dependence on Nvtx is strong. Jet corrections are based on PT, do they correct the mass properly? Can we use this variable to distinguish between different tunes?



MC expects the average jet mass to vary from 9.6 to 11.0 for 1-7 vertices. We notice, however, that the data is higher than MC for the first three points where there is more data.

All other samples (with one exception) have a smaller KS value.



Charged tracks in tagged b-jets



Expect large dependence on luminosity. V6.2 tune AV does well





KS values for other samples:

APROIhIACRIhIS0Pg0NOCRPg0S0p170S0p175SashaASashaS00.130.840.180.300.040.200.000.06



Jet Electromagnetic Fraction



There seems to be a problem with the EMF value associated to the tagged b-jet. Needs to be investigated





Eta Moments for b-tagged jets



PYTHIA V6.2, our default is shown here. As noted by Andrea and Hyunsu, they do not fit the data. Here we have not normalize to PT=50 GeV, as the PT distributions for data and MC agree quite well.



The Moment dependence on PT(jet) is in clear disagreement with the data and very likely is at the origin of the disagreement on the left.

KS values for other samples:

APROINIACRINIS0Pg0NOCRPg0S0p170S0p175SashaASashaS00.000.010.000.000.020.000.460.21

CR systematics: Top Mass Meeting 04/22/09, Lina Galtieri



PHI Moments for b-tagged Jets



The Moment dependence on PT(jet) has a better agreement with the data than the eta moment of the previous page. This is reflected in the KS value.



KS values for other samples:

AprolhlACRIhlS0Pg0NOCRPg0S0p170S0p175SashaA SashaS00.100.470.260.240.730.370.960.55



Summary



Color reconnection systematics depend on the Version of PYTHIA and Tunes used.

We have looked at a number of variables to explore the possibility to distinguish between different PYTHIA tunes by making comparisons with the b-tagged jet data.

At this point we have 698 b-tagged jets in I+jets with Ntight=4

The top Mass, Ncharged, Eta Moment and Phi Moment seem to be possible variables to use.

It is not clear at this point how to choose between the different tunes as none of the ones considered fair well on all of these variables. We need to do additional work to understand the situation.

More plots on Sasha's samples follow



Sasha's samples (1)



Plots of 4 variables

















By looking at the previous plots one conclusion could be:

The longitudinal fragmentation for the b-jets is different than that for the other samples. This improves the PT dependence of the eta moments, as well as the integral.

It is not clear if the jet mass improvement with respect to the other samples has the same origin.

We have also looked at the S0-pro moments at 170 GeV. As shown in the KS values on page 8, the eta moment disagrees with the data , so it is not a question of which top mass we are looking at.

MORE WORK NEEDS TO BE DONE!!!!



Back Up Slides







Strong color correlations between the hard process and the underlying event are implied by tune A and similar tunes. These effects may be interpreted as sign for color reconnection.

The issue has been studied at LEP for the W mass measurement

LEP



CR effects on the M_W measurement at LEP contribute to systematics



CR(sys) = 8 MeV

out of 22 MeV (total sys)

(LEPEWWG hep-ex/061203)

Tevatron

Preliminary MC studies have indicated possible contributions



to the top mass systematics of order

CR(sys)≈ 0.5 GeV

D. Wicke and P. Skands arXiv:0807.3248V1

Comparison of Different MC Versions



We have compared jet properties after generation + detector simulation. Preliminary studies find the ACR(pro) jets agree with PYTHIA V6.2, but:

E (Δ R=0.4 cone) S0 sample < E (Δ R=0.4 cone) PYTHIA V6.2 sample



b-quark jets

E(parton)-E(b-jet

- PYTHIA V62

40 60 80 100 120

60 80

S0(pro)-PYTHIA V62

100 120

∆ E (GeV)

PYTHIA S0(pro)

Jets in the S0(pro) sample are wider and shifted

S0(pro) -Nominal

$$\Delta E$$
 (cone) GeV
W-jets -0.38 ± 0.15
b-jets -1.43 ± 0.15

Energy in the cone affects the top mass directly. Studies are continuing

20

0

0 20 40

CR systematics: Top Mass Meeting 04/22/09, Lina Galtieri